

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,161	02/03/2004	Ronald C. Tate	1505-0171	1877
75	90 12/07/2005		EXAMINER	
Harold C. Moore			NGUYEN, HUNG THANH	
Maginot, Moore & Beck Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument	Circle, Suite 3000	2841		
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\&/</i>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,161	TATE ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	HUNG T. NGUYEN	2841
The MAILING DATE of this commun. Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states are reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>03 January</u> 2 <u>005</u> .	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matte ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 11-16 and 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 17-21 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	22 is/are withdrawn from considerationsted.	on.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by th		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	g the correction is required if the drawing(o by the Examiner. Note the attached	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. Certified copies of the priority3. Copies of the certified copies	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § documents have been received. documents have been received in All of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No
* See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paner No(s)/Mail Date 1/3/05	PTO-948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2841



DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-10 and 17-21 drawn to Utility Meter, classified in class 324, subclass 142.
- II. Claim 11-16, 22, drawn to Method of manufacturing, classified in class 29, subclass 830+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case method is used to rotating a second edge of the liquid crystal display within the diplay.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Harold C. Moore on 9/28/05 a provisional election was made with out traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claim 1-10, 17-21. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claim 11-16, 22 withdrawn from further

Art Unit: 2841

consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1-10, 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore (US 5,066,906).

Regard claim 1: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, an arrangement comprising: a liquid crystal display (28) having a length (see element 28), a width (see element 28) and a thickness (see element 28); an integrally formed housing comprising a display receptacle (225), the display receptacle (225) including retention members (30) operable to retain the liquid crystal display (28) in length (see element 28), width (see element 28) and thickness (see element 28) dimensions, and a flexible conductor(device 32) operably connected between the liquid crystal display (28) and a circuit board (26).

Regard claim 2, 8, 9: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the integrally formed housing includes a rib (see column 6, lines 16-58) extending across at least a portion the display receptacle (explain in claim 1), the rib (see column 6, lines 16-58) configured to retain the flexible conductor device in a predetermined position within the receptacle (explain in claim 1).

Art Unit: 2841

Regard claim 3, 4: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the integrally formed housing includes one or more side walls (portion of 14) and an end wall (portion 11) forming a meter circuit housing (10), the display receptacle (explain in claim 1) disposed within the meter circuit housing (10).

Regard claim 5, 20: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the one or more side walls comprises a cylindrical side wall (see figures).

Regard claim 6: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the meter circuit housing further comprises snap features (see abstract) for receiving corresponding snap features secured to the circuit board.

Regard claim 7: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the display receptacle includes four side walls (see figures 3) and end retaining flanges (302) extending perpendicularly from the four side walls (see figure 3), at least one of the four side walls (see figure 3) including at least one detent (226 or portion of 30) for retaining the liquid crystal display in the thickness dimension.

Regard claim 10: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, the arrangement wherein the rib includes a portion having an L-shaped cross section (it appears rib is an L shape).

Regard claim 21: Moore discloses disclose the meter housing structure wherein the flexible extension forms a gasketless seal (see column 1, lines 27-36).

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Moore (US 5,066,906) in view of Hartman (US 5,364,290)

Regard claim 17, 18, 19: Moore discloses in figures 1-6, a meter housing structure comprising: a base plate (11) supporting one or more meter blades, a cover (14) having an open end (see figures 1, 2) and a closed end (planar portion of 14), the open end (planar portion of 14) including a periphery having a shoulder (the edge of cover, see figures 1, 2), a first housing (10) supporting a display element, the first housing (10) having a periphery having an outward extending shoulder the first housing also including at least one flexible extension extending at an angle from the first housing outward extending shoulder: and wherein the cover shoulder engages the first housing outward extending shoulder so as to urge the flexible extension toward and against the base plate. Moore does not disclose the periphery having an outward extending shoulder the first housing also including at least one flexible extension extending at an angle from the first housing outward extending shoulder: and wherein the cover shoulder engages the first housing outward extending shoulder so as to urge the flexible extension toward and against the base plate.

Art Unit: 2841

Hartman discloses a periphery having an outward extending shoulder the first housing also including at least one flexible extension (see abstract and figure 9) extending at an angle from the first housing outward extending shoulder: and wherein the cover shoulder engages the first housing outward extending shoulder so as to urge the flexible extension toward and against the base plate.

Moore and Hartman are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor to make utility meter.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to make flexible extension of Moore to engage the housing against the base plate as taught by Hartman.

Therefore, it would have been obvious for one ordinary skill in the art to combine Moore with Hartman for the benefit of keeping cover in place.

Relevant Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Bradshow William M (US 1969499) teaches the watthour meter mounting, Lewis Morgan J (US 2,582,638) teaches the meter through box, Hammond Scott H (US 4,744,004) teaches the electricity meter, Langdon et al. (US 4,977,482) teaches the watthour meter socket, Germer et al. (US 5,001,420) teaches the energy meter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUNG T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-5983. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:30PM.

Art Unit: 2841

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, KAMMIE CUNEO can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

703-872-9306.

HN

Hung Thanh Nguyen

12/2/ 2005

KAMAND CUNEO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800